US ERA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY, REGION V POLLUTION/SITUATION REPORT #97



KALAMAZOO RIVER/ENBRIDGE SPILL – REMOVAL SITE # Z5JS MARSHALL, MICHIGAN LATITUDE: 42.2395273 LONGITUDE: -84.9662018



EPA ARCHIVE DOCUMENT

To: Lt. Paul Baker, Kalamazoo County Sheriff's Office

James Rutherford, Calhoun County Public Health Department Durk Dunham, Calhoun County Emergency Management

Lt. Barry Reber, Michigan State Police, Emergency Management.

Mark DuCharme, MDEQ

Mike McKenzie, City of Battle Creek

Susan Hedman, U.S. EPA Regional Administrator

James Sygo, MDEQ

Cheryl Vosburg, City of Marshall

Christine Kosnowski, City of Battle Creek

From: Ralph Dollhopf, U.S. EPA, Federal On-Scene Coordinator

Tricia Edwards, U.S. EPA, On-Scene Coordinator Jeff Kimble, U.S. EPA, On-Scene Coordinator Stephen Wolfe, U.S. EPA, On-Scene Coordinator

Date: 05/03/2011

Reporting Period: 0700 hours 04/25/2011 through 0700 hours 05/02/2011

1. Site Data

Site Number: Z5JS Contract Number:

D.O. Number: Action Memo Date:

Response Authority:OPAResponse Type:EmergencyResponse Lead:PRPIncident Category:Removal Action

NPL Status: Non-NPL Operable Unit:

Mobilization Date: 7/26/2010 **Start Date:** 7/26/2010

Demobilization Date: Completion Date:

CERCLIS ID: RCRIS ID:

ERNS No.: State Notification: FPN#: E10527 Reimbursable Account:

2. Previous Response Actions and Current Response Governance

See Situation Report (SITREP) #51 for a comprehensive description of preliminary operations. Previous response actions performed to date may be found in SITREPs #1 through #96.

Governance for the project is provided by the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (U.S. EPA) Federal On-Scene Coordinator (FOSC) and the Michigan Department of Environmental Quality. The structure of the response is the Incident Command System (ICS), with the FOSC serving as the Incident Commander (IC).

The Operational Period covered in this report is: 0700 hours 04/25/2011 through 0700 hours 05/02/2011.

3. Operations

This current operational phase of the response consists of: 1) Shoreline and Overbank Re-assessment Technique (SORT); 2) Poling; 3) Operations and Maintenance (O&M); 4) Decontamination; and 5) Other Operations.

Sheen, oil and/or tar patties were observed at the following locations inspected by the various operational groups identified herein.

Table 1 - O&M Actions

Activity	Number of Locations	Location (MP)
Sheen and/or Product Observation	35	Talmadge Creek, B5, 2.25 L1, 2.25 L2, 2.50 L1, 2.75I1, 2.75 R1, 3.25 R1, 3.25 IA, 3.75 RIC, 4.50 L1, C 0.5, 6.00 R1, 6.00 R4, 6.00 R3, 7.00 R1, 8.50 L1, 8.50 L2, 9.00 I1, 9.00 L1, 9.50 L1, 10.75 L2 SO, 11.25 R1, 11.25 R2, 11.50 R1, 11.75 R1, 14.0 LDB, 15.00, 15.25 Mill Pond, 15.50 C6, 17.00 L1, 19.4 D3, 28.25 R1, 35.20 E3, and 37.75 E4

3.1 Shoreline and Overbank Re-assessment Technique (SORT)

Five SORT Teams continued reassessment of the shoreline and overbank areas within the floodplain as defined by the inundation model along the Talmadge Creek and Kalamazoo River. A summary of SORT progress for this period is presented below.

Table 2 – SORT Progress as of 5/02/2011

Quarter – mile Segments Completed	148 locations (46.3% of planned locations)

3.2 Poling Re-assessment for submerged oil

Four poling teams began reassessment of the creek and river beds for submerged oil deposition. A summary of poling progress for this period is presented below.

Table 3 – Poling Progress as of 5/02/2011

	- 0
Sections Completed	69 sections (12.2% of planned sections)

3.3 Operations and Maintenance (O&M)

Teams inspected O&M locations. In general, team activities included an inspection of containment boom to ensure proper placement and effectiveness and inspection for readily visible oil or oil-saturated soils.

A summary of the number of sites in the O&M process as reported by Enbridge is summarized below.

Table 4 – O&M Maintenance and Monitoring Areas

	May	April 2011					
O&M Process	1	30	29	28	27	26	25
Under Evaluation for DEQ Compliance	54	54	54	54	54	54	54
Remedial Investigation Plan (DEQ)	5	5	5	5	5	5	5
Remedial Investigation (DEQ)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Remediation Plan (DEQ)	2	2	2	2	2	2	2
Permit Application Submitted (DEQ)	3	3	3	3	3	3	3
Active	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Monitoring	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
Recommended to be Cleared	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	103	103	103	103	103	103	103

3.4 Decontamination

Equipment and boom that were decontaminated during this operational period as reported by Enbridge is presented below.

Table 5 - Equipment Decontamination

	•	May		April				
Location/Media	Total	1	30	29	28	27	26	25
Frac Tanks	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vac Trucks-Tankers	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Roll-Off Boxes	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yellow Iron (light)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Yellow Iron (heavy)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Jon Boats	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Air Boats	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Vehicles	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Boom (feet)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

3.5 Additional Operations

3.5.1 Air Operations

There were 5 over-flights for situational awareness during this operational. Air Operations personnel observed and documented progress in all operational areas and continued to assess areas of interest such as oil mobilization and decontamination activities.

A summary of the status of additional aerial imagery tasks being performed by Enbridge related to reassessment activities is presented below.

Table 6 - Aerial Imagery for Reassessment

Technology	Overflight	Ground Controls	Data Compilation	Report Complete
LIDAR	Completed	In-progress	In-progress	Pending
FLS-AM	Completed	N/A	In-progress	Pending
Polarimetric Imagery	Completed	N/A	In-progress	Pending
Aerial Photography	Completed	Completed	In-progress	Pending

N/A = Not Applicable

3.5.2 Environmental Compliance and Oversight

Continued waste management characterization, documentation and coordination. Coordination with the MDEQ continues, particularly with regards to MDEQ concurrence of work contemplated by Enbridge in ecologically sensitive areas during operations.

3.5.3 Monitoring Branch

Under MDEQ direction, potable water, groundwater, soil, sediment and surface water sampling were performed in accordance with the existing U.S. EPA-approved Sampling and Analysis Plan (SAP) (adopted by MDEQ) and a reduced sampling frequency as approved by the MDEQ.

Samples reported by Enbridge are provided on the following page.

Table 7 – Samples Collected By Enbridge

	Total	May		April				
Sample Type		1	30	29	28	27	26	25
Surface Water	31	0	0	9	10	6	6	0
Private Well Samples	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Groundwater Samples	2	0	0	2	0	0	0	0
Sediment Samples	14	0	0	0	6	7	0	0
Soil Samples	31	0	0	26	0	0	5	0

4. Landowner Environmental Issues

Landowner environmental issues, as reported by Enbridge for this period are represented below.

Table 8 – Landowner Environmental Issues

Issues this Period		Issues Undergoing Evaluation	Issues Considered Addressed
	0	13	0

5. Progress Metrics

Progress metrics reported in this section are as reported by Enbridge.

Table 9 - Boom and Aqua Dam Report

	May	April					
Metric	1	30	29	28	27	26	25
# Boom Locations	41	41	41	40	40	40	40
[# control points included]	[6]	[6]	[6]	[6]	[6]	[6]	[6]
Total Surface Boom Deployed (ft)	22,600	22,600	22,600	20,700	20,700	20,700	20,700
[ft of control point surface boom]	[6,770]	[6,770]	[6,770]	[6,770]	[6,770]	[6,770]	[6,770]
# Aqua Dam Locations	5	5	5	6	6	6	6
Aqua Dam Deployed (ft)	510	510	510	670	670	670	670
Sand-Super Sacks	39	39	39	39	39	39	39
[Sand Bags]	[1,448]	[1,448]	[1,448]	[1,448]	[1,448]	[1,448]	[1,448]

NR = Not Reported by Enbridge

Table 10 - Soil and Debris Shipped Off Site as of 5/02/2011

Waste Stream	Cumulative	Disposal Facility
Haz Soil (yd³)	19,644	•
Non-Haz Soil & Debris (yd³) (Excluding Ceresco Dredge)	73,990	Westside Recycling (Three Rivers, MI); Terra/C&C and EQ/Republic
Non-Haz Soil (yd ³) (Ceresco Dredge Only)	5,562	- 1
Haz Debris (yd³)	12,075	EQ/Michigan Disposal (Wayne, MI) and Republic (Marshall, MI)
Non-Haz Household Debris (ton)	734	EQ/Republic (Marshall, MI); C&C
Non-Haz Impacted Debris (ton)	1,918	EQ/Republic (Marshall, Mr), C&C

Table 11 – Oil/Water Collected by Location (as of 5/02/2011)

	Cumulative
Location	(gallons)
Division A	5,356,315
Division B	4,868,279
Division C	1,891,406
Division D	121,106
Division E	47,438
Decontamination	1,558,873
Site A	202,319
Other Locations*	1,242,440
Subtotal	15,288,176
Sludge**	(467,660)
Total Oil/Water	14,820,516

^{*} Decontamination Operations, Wildlife Center Operations, Sediment Trap Cleaning, Hydro-Vacuuming.

Table 12 – Oil/Water Separation 5/02/2011 (Enbridge Facility in Griffith, IN)

Item	Cumulative (gallons)
Oil	766,288
Other Material	1,405,525
Total	2,171,813

Table 13 - Liquid Shipped Off-site as of 5/02/2011

		Destination	Cumulative Volume
Stream	Destination Company	Location	(gallons) †
Hazardous Water	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	3,594,579
Oil/Water	Enbridge Facility	Griffith, IN	2,171,813
Treated Non-Haz Water	Liquid Industrial Waste	Holland, MI	370,200
Treated Non-Haz Water	Plummer	Kentwood, MI	4,976,140
Hazardous Water	Safety Kleen ^a		825
Non-Haz Water	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	671,177
Treated Non-Haz Water*	Dynecol	Detroit, MI	150,700
Treated Non-Haz Water*	Battle Creek POTW	Battle Creek, MI	1,968,700
Non-Haz Water	Battle Creek POTW	Battle Creek, MI	861,420
		Total	14,765,554

Treated Non-Haz Water no longer sent to this location.

Table 14 - Oil/Water Remaining On-Site 5/05/2011

Tuble 11 On Water Remaining On Bite 2/02/2011				
	Cumulative			
Item	(gallons)			
Oil/Water Collected	14,820,516			
Oil/Water Shipped Off-Site	14,765,554			
Total Oil/Water Remaining On-Site	54,962			

^{**} Sludge collected is tracked as a liquid waste; however, after stabilization, the sludge is disposed of as a solid waste. Therefore the volume of sludge in not included within the cumulative oil/water totals. Stabilized sludge is included in the solid waste disposal numbers shown in Table 8.

Cumulative quantities may not reconcile with previous reports (due to auditing).

a. New Age lab water and methanol mix generated by mobile laboratory.

6. Support Operations

6.1 Planning

The Planning Department coordinated with Enbridge Planning in the ICS planning cycle.

6.1.1 Environmental Unit

Continued to review report submittals received from Enbridge.

6.1.2 Data Management Unit

Continued importing preliminary and validated analytical results into Scribe, exporting daily briefings; and processing and printing maps as requested. Database management is being done in Vernon Hills, IL and/or Houston, TX.

6.1.3 Documentation Unit

Continued organization and archiving of electronic and paper files.

6.2 Safety

Due to the continued changing of the seasons, an emphasis continues to be placed on spring weather awareness (heavy rain, fog, snow, swift water, flood watch and rising of water levels) with the work crews.

The safety staff continue to provide field support for the reassessment teams and training to new reassessment staff. Safety teams established additional safety measures including throw bags and walking sticks for safe work on the Talmadge Creek where conditions made access to the creek and work areas marginal.

6.3 Public Information

The quantity of public inquires reported by Enbridge for this period is presented below.

Table 15 – Public Inquiries Received by EPA and Enbridge

T 4* /b /f . 1* .	Total	May	April					
Location/Media		1	30	29	28	27	26	25
Marshall Community Center	18	0	0	2	5	6	5	0
Oil Spill Public Information Hotline	15	0	0	1	5	5	2	2
Website	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Public Inquiries	33	0	0	3	10	11	7	2

6.4 Finance

The current NPFC issued ceiling is \$31.2 Million. Approximately 85.9% of the ceiling has been spent through May 1, 2011. As of May 1, 2011, the latest average 7-day burn rate was \$37,888 per day. These cost summaries reflect only EPA-funded expenditures for the incident. A summary of these expenses is presented on the following page.

Table 16 - Financial Summary

Table 16 - Financial Summary									
	Expended (Cumulative) as of								
Item	5/01/2011								
ERRS Contractors									
EQM (EPS50802)	\$ 1,250,065								
T060	\$ 204,903								
LATA (EPS50804) T019	\$ 1,451,396								
ER LLC (EPS50905)	\$ 723,669 \$ 3,630,035								
Total ERRS Contractors	\$ 3,630,035								
Other Contractors									
Lockheed Martin (EPW09031) – TAGA Support	\$ 150,000								
T&T Bisso (EPA:HS800008)	\$ 1,255,000 \$ 1,405,000								
Total Other Contractors	\$ 1,405,000								
START Contractor – WESTON (EPS50604) T030-Response									
T032-Sampling T037-Doc Suppor	ψ 100,214								
	\$ 713,230								
Total START Contractor	. , ,								
Response Contractor Sub-Totals									
EPA Funded Costs: Total EPA Costs	\$ 4,820,012								
Pollution Removal Funding Agreements — Total Other Agencies	\$ 1,823,682								
Sub-Totals	\$23,092,632								
Indirect Cost (16.00%)	\$ 3,694,821								
Total Est. Oil Spill Cost	, ,								
Oil Spill Ceiling Authorized by USCG	\$31,200,000								
Oil Spill Ceiling Available Balance	\$ 4,412,547								

7. Participating Entities

A Multi-Agency Coordination (MAC) Group meets weekly regarding the progress of the response. Entities participating in the MAC include:

- U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
- Michigan Department of Environmental Quality
- Michigan State Police Emergency Management Division
- City of Battle Creek
- City of Marshall
- Calhoun County Public Health Department
- Calhoun County Emergency Management
- Kalamazoo County Sheriff
- Enbridge (Responsible Party)

For a list of cooperating and assisting agencies and the congressional presence, see SITREP #51 (Sections 3.2 and 3.3).

8. Personnel On-Site

Staffing numbers for the entities and agencies active in the response are presented below.

Table 17 - Personnel On-Site

	May	April					
Agency/Entity	1	30	29	28	27	26	25
U.S. EPA	1	1	4	4	3	3	0
START	17	20	22	19	18	26	3
Calhoun County Public Health	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
Calhoun County (CC) EM	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
City of Battle Creek	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
City of Marshall	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Village of Augusta	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalamazoo County Public Health	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Kalamazoo Sheriff	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
MDEQ	0	0	3	6	2	21	0
Michigan State Police EMD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
NOAA – National Weather	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
USFWS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Enbridge – Operations	20	55	100	107	107	105	21
Enbridge – Field	40	51	98	78	80	138	28
Total	78	127	232	214	210	295	52

^{*}Enbridge Operations and Field include Enbridge and contractors as reported by Enbridge

9. Source of Additional Information

For additional information, refer to http://www.epa.gov/enbridgespill. For sampling analysis data, see http://response.enbridge.com/response/main.aspx?id=13168.